From: "Saric, James" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE;GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1563015DBEEE49A1AEA479C55929F0D1-JSARIC>

To: Canar

John;Roth

Charles;

CC: "John Kern" < jkern@kernstat.com>

"Paul \(DEQ\)" < BUCHOLTZP@michigan.gov>

Date: 4/9/2013 4:33:55 PM

Subject: Kalamazoo SWACS and Fish Trends

John and Chuck,

We just had a conference call with Georgia-Pacific and their new contractor AMEC regarding SWACs and fish trends. A couple names you may be hearing from in the future are Garrett Bondy, the AMEC project manager and Steve Ellis their stats guy. Anyhow, it appears that AMEC concurs with the idea of eliminating step-out sampling, but wants to get a better idea of what data is being used in the SWAC calculations. So, it is my understanding that they want to propose another boot-strap method using some of the data John Kern used in his recent SWAC analysis for comparison. They are going to send that to everyone early next week. We are planning on having a conference call to discuss this on wed 4/17 most likely in the afternoon, as Steve Ellis lives in Seattle. So, be on the lookout for this submittal and let me know if you can make the call on 4/17. John Kern, if you need to further expound on this and/or talk directly to John and Chuck go ahead.

Also, AMEC is going to take a closer look at the fish trend analysis and calculations John performed. They agree that lipid needs to be considered, but I think they want to make sure that all fish sizes are represented and realistically they haven't yet had a chance to get deep into the data. So, I expect to hear more about fish next week as well.

Anyhow, I will need you both to be available as much as possible the next few weeks to participate on this SWAC and fish trend working group to try and resolve these issues and develop an acceptable method(s) for calculating SWACs as well as fish trends.

Jim